

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,550	03/29/2004	Rajat Aggarwal	X-1490 US	3439
24309	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
XILINX, IN	C		DINH,	PAUL
ATTN: LEGA	AL DEPARTMENT			
2100 LOGIC	DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	CA 95124		2825	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11'}
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/812,550	AGGARWAL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul Dinh	2825	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AS A SECOND AS	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	larch 2004.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)🖂	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,550

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8, and 15 are objected to because "the unchanged modules" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 6-7, 13-14, and 20-21 are objected to because "the components" lacks antecedent basis.

Correction is required.

Reasons for Allowance

Claims 1-21 would be allowable because the prior art does not teach or suggest a method/system/program codes for designing a programmable logic device in the manner as recited in the claims; the method/system/program codes comprising the steps/means for: receiving a modification to a programmable logic device that has been floor planned; identifying modules of the programmable logic device that have been changed by the modification; floorplanning only the changed modules thereby determining a placement solution that does not violate boundaries of the unchanged modules; and placing and routing the programmable logic device.

Conclusion

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Paul Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1890. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax number for the organization handling this application is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,550

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Dinh

PAUL DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER